Zaria Journal of Communication (ZAJCOM)

ISSN: 2408-5820

Vol. 11, August, 2026

Department of Mass Communication,
Faculty of Social Sciences,
Ahmadu Bello University
Zaria – Nigeria

© Dept. of Mass Comm. Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria, 2026

All Rights reserved
Except for academic purposes no part or whole of this Journal is allowed to be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means be it mechanical, electrical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior permission of the Copyright owner.

ISSN: 2408-5820

Published by
Dept. of Mass Comm.
Ahmadu Bello University,
Zaria, Nigeria,

Printed by
Ahmadu Bello University Press Limited, Zaria,
Kaduna State, Nigeria.
Tel: 08065949711
E-mail: abupress2013@gmail.com,
abupress2020@yahoo.com
Website: www.abupress.com.ng

Editorial Board

Editor in Chief/Head of Department
Adama Adamu, Ph.D.

Editor
Associate Professor Ibrahim Jimoh

Members
Professor Ladi Sandra Adamu – *Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria*
Professor C.I. Eze – *Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria*
Associate Professor Mahmud M. Umar – *Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria*
Associate Professor Shamsuddeen Mohammed – *Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria*
Associate Professor Maryam Ibrahim – *Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria*
Dr. Mukhtar El-Kasim – *Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria*
Dr. Musa Barau Gamji – *Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria*
Dr. Hashim Muhammad Suleiman – *Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria*
Dr. Kabiru Danladi – *Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria*
Dr. Abubakar Shehu – *Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria*
Dr. Ahmed Abubakar – *Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria*
Dr. Musa Alhassan – *Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria*

Board Secretary
Aminu M. Abdullahi

Production Editor
Q. Y. Nasidi, Ph.D.

Assistant Production Editor
Bishir Bala

Editorial Consultants
Professor Umaru A. Pate
Professor Mustapha N. Malam
Professor Rotimi Olatunji
Professor Suleiman Salau
Professor Umar Faruk Jibril
Professor Ezekiel Asemah
Professor Muhammad Bashir Ali

Editorial Guidelines

Articles including graphs and tables should not be more than 20 pages on A4 paper (double line spacing) on M.S. Word, 12-point type (New Times Roman). Papers should be with an abstract of not more than 250 words, and six key words. All submissions must conform to the American Psychological Association (APA) referencing format.

Zaria Journal of communication is a peer-reviewed journal published twice yearly (February and September) by the Department of Mass Communication, Faculty of Social Sciences, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria. The Journal accepts articles in all communication related areas from local and international scholars. Articles are received all year round and are subjected to a blind review before final acceptance for publication.

- Manuscripts submitted for publication should not have been published or currently being considered for publication elsewhere. Contributors must inform the Managing Editor if the paper has been made public in another form or language as copyright of papers accepted for publication belongs to the Department of Mass Communication, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria.
- Each manuscript received shall be sent for blind assessment. The sum of N5, 000.00 (Five Thousand Naira only) shall be paid as assessment fee. Authors shall be notified about the acceptability or otherwise of their manuscripts. Accepted manuscripts shall attract a publication fee of N25, 000.00 (Twenty Five Thousand Naira only). Authors shall be entitled to a copy of the edition in which their article(s) appear.
- Proofs will be professionally corrected and checked by the editorial team. Authors will receive marked proofs of their paper(s) for corrections and are expected to return them to the editorial board within a stipulated time. Please note that corrections should be confined to typographical or factual errors. Proof corrections should be kept to a minimum, and not used as an opportunity to revise the style or substance of the article.
- The article title should be in capitals and centered on the front page with author(s) name(s), institutional affiliation, e-mail address and telephone number. This should be on a separate page for the purpose of blind review.
  All submissions/contributions should be addressed to:

The Editor,
Zaria Journal of Communication,
Department of Mass Communication,
Faculty of Social Sciences,
Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria.
Zajcomm@gmail.com

Contents

Editorial Board	iii
Editorial Guidelines	iv
Contents	v

When Privacy Goes Public: The Digital Mediation of Marital Disputes of Selected Celebrities in Nigeria.

ABISOYE, Godlyn Chidiadi
Department of Mass Communication
Babcock University, Ilishan-Remo, Ogun State, Nigeria
GSM: +234 805 654 1650
Email: abisoyeg@babcock.edu.ng

AJILORE, Kolade, PhD
Department of Mass Communication
Babcock University, Ilishan-Remo, Ogun State, Nigeria
GSM: + 234 805 513 4044
Email: ajilorek@babcock.edu.ng

AKINTAYO Ayodunni Tewogbola
Department of Mass Communication
Babcock University, Ilishan-Remo, Ogun State, Nigeria
GSM: +234 813 079 9014
Email: akintayoa@babcock.edu.ng

GBEKELEOLUWA Abidemi R
Department of Mass Communication, Yaba College of Technology
GSM: +2348037750086
Email: abidemi.gbekeloluwa@yabatech.edu.ng
DOI: https://doi.org/10.63725/zajcom.v11.i1.01

Abstract

Marriage in Nigeria has always been managed through communal mediation and cultural restraint. However, social media has transformed the issue of marital breakdown into a spectacle. The paper examines the ways in which digital audiences interpret and control celebrity marital disputes in Nigeria by looking at four different cases, namely, Yul and May Edochie, 2Baba (Tuface) and Annie Idibia, AY and Mabel Makun, and Ijoba Lande and Darasimi. The study employs a qualitative interpretive design to analyze 11 trigger texts of celebrities consisting of posts, stories, and statements and 470 comments of the audience gathered on Instagram, X, and Facebook in 2021-2025. The Digital Morality Circuit (DMC) informs the analysis of a pattern of Exposure, Amplification, Moral Auditing and Symbolic Resolution and Cultural Afterlife that recurs. The findings reveal that public disclosure hardly results in reconciliation. Instead, it accelerates moral decision-making in platform-based publics, intensified by gendered scrutiny and redirects conflict management away from the mediation of elders to the algorithm-mediated engagement. Even when

a controversy may seem to fade, reposts, threads, and repeated circulation keep it alive, providing a conflict with a digital afterlife. The research provides a cultural based approach to comprehending how the Nigerian audiences perform morality over the internet and how privacy, dignity and communal ways of resolving conflicts are being redefined in the social media age. To reduce traceability, audience comments were de-identified and paraphrased where necessary.

Keywords: Celebrity marriages; marital conflict; Digital Morality Circuit (DMC); Nigeria; social media.

1.0 Introduction

Marital conflict in Nigeria was never a normal form of entertainment before the emergence of social media. It was painful and dealt with carefully. Such issues were kept within the family domain, with the help of family structures, elders, and cultural restraint. Yoruba and Igbo moral thinking echoes this sentiment by holding the home to be a place not readily convertible into a theatre. Proverbs like "Àsírí ẹ̀kọ̀ kì í tú lójú ẹ̀wẹ̀" (family secrets must not leak) and "Ọ̄kpù ụ̀zụ̀ nwụ́ụ̀rụ̀ ànwụ̀ anàghị àkpọ̀ áhá ya n'ụ́zọ̀" (private matters should not be exposed in public) point to a wider cultural concern for dignity, restraint, and the possibility of restoration. In many communities, conflict was not ignored, but it was managed quietly and gradually, with the expectation that healing would take time.

That earlier pattern is now under attack. The digital realm of the Nigerian public is becoming more and more concerned with drawing the personal life into the systems of visibility, response, and circulation. Social media are not a mere mirror of events but also influence the perception of those events, their discussion, and expansion. Emotionally charged content spreads rapidly, with reposts, commentary, and additional interpretation getting attracted. Deep mediatization and platform society scholarship have demonstrated that social institutions, such as family life, are being reconfigured as media logic enters everyday meaning-making (Hepp, 2019; van Dijck et al., 2018; Couldry & Hepp, 2016). As soon as a personal marital conflict goes online, it is hard to contain. It is reacted to, interpreted and judged by viewers, and can be preserved in the memories of audiences long after the initial exposure.

This paper examines that change via the digital mediation of marital issues of four popular Nigerian celebrity marriages: Yul and May Edochie; 2Baba (Tuface) and Annie Idibia; AY and Mabel Makun; and Ijoba Lande and Darasimi. In all cases, public exposure proved to be a breaking point whereby the personal struggle was transferred to a broader public discourse. In 2021, the Annie Idibia's Instagram Story later deleted put the accusation of betrayal into the national discourse. Yul Edochie in 2022, introduced a second wife and a child, making a family issue a popular public debate. In 2024, audiences received Mabel Makun saying, "Distance

is my new response to disrespect..", with varying interpretations, such as an expression of dignity, blame or strategy. The Idibia divorce announcement and the Lande controversy went viral in 2025 and generated strong reactions in the comment threads.

The paper employs the Digital Morality Circuit (DMC) as a conceptual lens to make sense of these cases. The framework describes how marital conflict tends to unfold through four connected stages online: Exposure, Amplification, Moral Auditing and Symbolic Resolution and Cultural Afterlife. To put it simply, a private marital issue is disclosed, circulates across platforms, and judged by people, and further perpetuates itself through reposts, memory, and recycling even when the original controversy seems to have subsided. There is a significant tension emphasized by the framework. Digital publics tend to use a language of restraint, tradition, and cultural values, but in the arena where spectacle and quick verdicts flourish. From this perspective, the position formerly held by the elders is not totally lost, but is being pushed aside or re-created in the comment sections and platform-based participation.

The study is guided by three objectives:

1. Explore how social media transforms private marital conflict into performative digital narratives.
2. Analyse how digital audience reactions shape gendered interpretations of celebrity marital conflicts in Nigeria.
3. Examine the implications of digital mediation for traditional cultural mechanisms of marital dispute resolution in Nigerian society.

By focusing on celebrity disclosures as trigger texts and audience reactions as moral performances, the study offers a culturally grounded account of how Nigerians negotiate intimacy, gender, religion, and dignity in the platform era. More importantly, it argues that the issue goes beyond the instability of celebrity marriages themselves. It also concerns the weakening and reshaping of older communal ethics that once managed marital conflict through restraint, mediation, and the hope of restoration

2.0 Literature Review

The culture of celebrity transcend entertainment in Nigeria. It has also emerged as an observable arena over which individuals argue over intimacy, gender, morality and social order. Once there is a breakdown in celebrity marriages, it is no longer a private family issue but matter for public discussion. Not only attention, but

judgment and moral interpretation come next. This review synthesizes the literature on mediatization, gendered spectacle, moral economy of digital pain, and celebrity marriages as moral texts. The goal is to lay a foundation to the Digital Morality Circuit (DMC) as the framework of this research.

2.1 Mediatization, Visibility and the Collapse of Domestic Privacy.

Historical Nigerian practices of marital conflict have tended to focus on discretion, community mediation and gradual healing. Deep mediatization has disrupted this older pattern and restructured social life around visibility and circulation (Hepp, 2019). In platform societies, family life becomes more exposed because private disclosures can be seen by different audiences simultaneously (Marwick & Boyd, 2011). This renders interpretation challenging to manage across contexts. When marital conflict is revealed on the Internet, it may go viral via reposts and comment threads. User engagement expands circulation (Huszar et al., 2022). Privacy is therefore made less stable and negotiated in this process. The duration of a private issue in the public is now influenced by platform features and audience participation (Milli et al., 2025).

2.2 Gender, Spectacle, and the Burden of Performance.

In digital celebrity culture, gender equality does not tend to be neutral. Women are more readily transformed into public evidence because of their feelings, bodies, and respectability (Im et al., 2022). In contrast, men usually have more space to interpret via silence, charisma, or apology (Popoola, 2021). When it comes to marital conflicts in celebrity relationships, women are encouraged to exhibit the appropriate type of pain. It should be conspicuous enough to evoke sympathy, but it should be chastened enough to be respectable. Their reactions are then interpreted as texts of morality. From them, public derive teachings on loyalty, patience, fidelity, and honour. Gendered spectacle is hence not a minor aspect of the online discourse. It is one of the primary means, through which celebrity marriages are read and policed (Van Bavel et al., 2024).

Moral economies influence the way celebrity pain is treated by the public. Within such spaces, audiences are making judgments on who should be empathized with, blamed, punished, or redeemed. According to Papacharissi (2014), affective publics do not just observe suffering. They disseminate it, decode it and give it meaning. In this context, marriage conflict is made entertaining and subject to interest and evaluation. It may also have reputational effects that can last longer than the initial conflict (Forestal, 2024). Meanwhile, suspicion is promoted in digital spaces. Vulnerability can be considered as real pain or as performance goal. Consequently, there is a tendency of publics to shift between empathy and cynicism.

Moral emotion and virality can enhance condemnation and public shaming (Puryear et al., 2024).

2.4 Celebrity Marriages as Moral Texts

Celebrity marriages in Nigeria are increasingly read as moral texts rather than merely a private relationship issues (Inya, 2021). Marital disputes between popular couples are typically portrayed as symbolic occurrences where audiences argue on what marriage ought to be, who is fit to be honoured, and what intimacy is culturally acceptable (Adeoba & Yékú, 2025). Ava literature assists in the explanation of mediatization, affective publics, digital shaming and gendered scrutiny. Nevertheless, these strands have not been completely integrated into a culturally based paradigm of comprehending Nigerian celebrity marital conflict. The present study addresses this gap using the Digital Morality Circuit (DMC).

2.5 Conceptual Framework: The Digital Morality Circuit (DMC)?

This paper uses Digital Morality Circuit (DMC) to describe how private marital rupture becomes public moral spectacle on the platform society in Nigeria. The framework is based on the literature on mediatization and platform visibility (Hepp, 2019; Couldry & Hepp, 2016). It is also based on the literature on digital moral judgment and African communal ethics of discretion, dignity, and restoration (Adeoba & Yékú, 2025; Van Bavel et al., 2024). The DMC outlines four recurring phases: Exposure, Amplification, Moral Auditing, and Symbolic Resolution and Cultural Afterlife. Exposure is the stage where private pain is brought to the public space. Amplification refers to the process of spreading such disclosure via reposts, commentary, and visibility shaped by user engagement. Moral Auditing is the manner in which online audiences attribute blame, sympathy and reputational meaning. Symbolic Resolution and Cultural Afterlife describes why the obvious closure is incomplete. Platform traces may reoccur and reconstruct public memory even when the attention has diminished (Adriaansen & Smit, 2025). The DMC thus provides a culturally based manner of comprehending how The DMC therefore offers a culturally grounded way of understanding how celebrity marital conflict moves through digital space in recurring patterns.

3.0 Methodology

This research employed a qualitative interpretive design. The aim was to examine how online audiences in Nigeria turn celebrity marital conflicts into sites of moral performance. The Digital Morality Circuit (DMC) served as an analytical guide. This 4-phase framework includes Exposure, Amplification, Moral Auditing, and Symbolic Resolution and Cultural Afterlife. It was employed to elaborate on how private rupture becomes public moral spectacle.

Data were drawn from four high-profile Nigerian celebrity marriages: Yul and May Edochie, AY and Mabel Makun, Tuface (2Baba) and Annie Idibia, and Ijoba Lande and Darasimi. A total of 11 celebrity trigger texts were purposively selected between 2021 and 2025. These included posts, stories, statements, and circulating disclosure clips. Selection was based on emotional salience, reach, and relevance to marital rupture. The trigger texts were sourced mainly from Instagram, Facebook, and X. Linked media and entertainment reports were used only to confirm platform origin when original posts had been deleted or were time-limited, such as Stories. During data collection, a working log was kept for all 11 trigger texts. The log recorded the case, platform, date, and type of post.

Based on these trigger texts, maximum variation sampling was done on approximately 470 public comments. That enabled the documentation of a spectrum of moral, cultural, and gendered expressions in cases and platforms (Ahmad & Wilkins, 2025). Reflexive thematic analysis was utilized in the study. Inductive and deductive coding was used. Inductive coding enabled themes to be identified in the data whereas deductive was supported by the stages of the DMC. The coding proceeded via familiarization, initial code generation, theme development, refinement, and interpretive memoing (Braun & Clarke, 2022). These measures assisted in enhancing clarity and coherence of the analysis. The refined themes were connected with the aim of the study. Objective 1 aligned with themes of exposure and amplification. Objective 2 dealt with themes on gendered moral interpretation. Objective 3 responded to the themes on the displacement of traditional mediation.

Celebrity posts, statements and interviews were considered primary texts on the ethical side. Only where analytically necessary were they quoted verbatim. Comments made by the audience were anonymized and paraphrased to reduce traceability. There were no usernames reported. In the cases where short illustrative phrases were retained, they were de-identified and stripped of identifiable search cues. Posts and comment threads were noted and archived in screenshots and document excerpts in the collection of data. This assisted in maintaining context and minimized loss due to deletion, re-ordering, or link rot. Ethical decision-making for online research followed Markham and Buchanan (2012) and was improved with new recommendations on quotation, identifiability, and context sensitivity in qualitative social media research (Harrington, 2025; Zhang et al., 2024).

4.1 Pathways of Exposure: When Private Ruptures Go Public

In traditional Nigerian culture, marital conflict was not usually meant for public display. Proverbs such as Àsírí ẹ̀kọ̀ kì í tú lọ́jú ẹ̀wẹ̀ (family secrets must not leak) and Ọ̄kpù ụ̀zụ̀ nwúụ̀rụ̀ ànwụ̀ anàghị àkpọ̀ áhá ya n'ụ́zọ̀ (private or shameful matters should not be exposed in public) reflect a moral order in which privacy protects dignity and gives mediation time to work. In that setting, conflict was not ignored. It was contained, slowed down, and handled away from the crowd.

That trend is now being strained. In Nigeria's platformed public sphere, private life is becoming absorbed into systems that reward visibility, reaction, and circulation. When a marital dispute is posted on Instagram, Facebook, or X, it difficult to control. Many audiences simultaneously view, read, reshare and make sense of it. The mediatization and platform society researchers demonstrate that media currently determine the experience and perception of social life (Hepp, 2019; Couldry & Hepp, 2016). Within this setting, the personal conflict might soon turn into a public content. It also has the ability to assemble meanings, which extend way beyond the initial disclosure (van Dijck et al., 2018; Marwick & Boyd, 2011). This is what the Digital Morality Circuit refers to as Exposure. It is where personal suffering is manifested in the form of public text and the home is added to the timeline.

This is evident in the Instagram post of April 27, 2022 by Yul Edochie. His introduction of a second wife and child to "the world" was not just a family news. He made a private family affair into public affair. Disclosure is not neutral in platform culture. Content that provokes reaction often travels further, and wider circulation gives it more moral weight (Edochie, 2022; Huszár et al., 2022). His example thus indicates exposure in a direct and visible manner. Revelation becomes a publicly performed personal decision (Milli et al., 2025).

From the audience perspective, the Idibia's case reveal similar pattern. The divorce announcement was made public and it instantly attracted disbelief, sympathy, blame and ridicule. In the responses examined in this study, it was observed that some respondents wondered why a private and sensitive issue had to be taken online. The thought of a press conference announcing the divorce was ridiculed by others. This demonstrates the criticism of spectacle by online publics as they engage in it. A contemporary thread summary also reported mixed reactions to how the announcement was handled (Irene, 2025; X, 2025). In this case, exposure did not simply reveal separation. It turned marital breakdown into a matter of public custody.

The AY and the Mabel and Lande and Darasimi cases indicate that exposure is not necessarily done via dramatic confession. Mabel Makun in her post, "Distance is my new response to disrespect, was softer and more subtle. Nonetheless, it provided audiences with sufficient clues to deduce pain, blame, and marital tension (Makun, 2024). The case of Darasimi Lande took a more reactive course. Her verbal address became a subject of judgment, as the controversy continued to circulate, through interviews, apology talk, and subsequent postings on social media. Marital issue on its own was not the only issue that commenters responded to. They also criticized her continued visibility as further wrongdoing, describing the interview as baseless and her return to the public space shameless (Lasaki, 2025).

Collectively considered, these instances demonstrate that exposure is not necessarily a one-drama act. It may emerge via revelation, suggestion, confirmation, apology, or repeated circulation. However, the moment private dispute enters online platforms, it ceases to be private. In this regard, Exposure becomes the initial phase whereby social media transforms marital conflict into a digital performance story. It addresses the first objective of the study.

4.2 Emotion as Currency: When Pain Becomes Content

Where Exposure is the loosening of the knots of private marital conflict, Amplification is the next stage. It is the phase when pain starts to propagate by reposts, comments, and reactions of the audience. When networked publics are concerned, suffering seldom stands alone. It is perceived, repeated, and processed into broader societal discourses, thus, emotional disclosure is not only visible but also subject to societal evaluation (Papacharissi, 2014;). In this way, marital conflict transcends a personal hurt. It becomes content that can draw criticism, attention, and reputational consequences (Forestal, 2024).

Yoruba wisdom captures the risk of this kind of exposure: "Ẹ̀yìn lọ́hùn tí ó bá ti bòlẹ̀ kò tún ṣe n kó" (words are like eggs; once dropped, they cannot be gathered again). An Igbo saying makes a similar point: "Onye nyụchie nsị ụzọ, ọ lọtawa ya ezuta ijiji" (once something foul is left exposed, it draws flies). These proverbs are applicable to this discussion since amplification is not just about being visible. What is equally concerning is what that visibility produces. As soon as the pain of marriage starts circulating, it attracts commentary, suspicion, ridicule, pity and consequences way beyond the initial revelation.

This tendency is very evident in the Yul-May/Judy case. In the sampled reactions, audiences did not always approach the visible tension as emotional pain that needed to be understood. Rather, many treated it as material for public consumption. Some

commenters described the couple as “content creators,” accused them of “cashing out,” and interpreted the conflict as a staged performance intended to attract attention and trend online. Within this context, pain ceased to function only as personal testimony. It also became a form of exchange within the attention economy.

The same process may be observed in the Idibia materials but slightly different. The January 2025 divorce announcement was met with sympathy, particularly on the side of Annie, but the sympathy did not resolve the meaning of the event. The exposure was soon challenged in a broader discussion online via reposts and summaries of threads, which began to address the issue of sincerity, timing, and more on how the situation was handled. What was going round, however, was not merely a statement of divorce. It was an emotionally coloured public issue which was being interpreted differently by various individuals simultaneously (Irene, 2025; YabaLeftOnline, 2025).

The AY-Mabel and Darasimi Lande cases exhibit the same procedure in more muffled and more severe forms. The tone of April 2024 post by Mabel Makun was very subdued, but when it was reposted, audiences began to read it as dignity, accusation, endurance, or strategy. The apology interview and subsequent motivational post by Darasimi elicited even more critical responses. Some audiences demanded forgiveness in the sampled comments, yet in the majority of cases, her returning to speech in public was perceived as improper conduct and shamelessness (Lasaki, 2025; Irene, 2024).

This aids in understanding why emotion in platform culture is a currency and a casualty. It might create visibility, relevance, and even moral support. Concurrently, the very visibility is subject to suspicion and policing. Engagement-oriented systems take the emotionally charged content a step further, and the virality and moral emotion can solidify the condemnation and accelerate judgment (Milli et al., 2025; Puryear et al., 2024). The process does not exclude the audiences. Through reposting, debating, mocking, defending and keeping the story alive, they assist in spreading the pain further than the context in which it was originally posted.

In this light, Amplification can be understood as the process of transforming private emotional breakdown into disseminated material. This addresses directly the first objective of the study because it demonstrates that marital pain does not just reside on social media. It redefines it as a performative digital narrative via recirculation, audience involvement, and suspicious.

4.3 Moral Auditing: The Public Trial of private marriages.

In the previous Nigerian moral contexts, conflict was not supposed to be resolved during times of fury. Traditional wisdom encourages patience and elders to take their time. The Yoruba saying, “Òrò àgbà kò ṣè l’owuró, á ṣe l’ọjọ́ alẹ́” (elders’ wisdom may not seem urgent at first, but it proves true with time), warns against quick judgment. Platform culture functions in a different way. As soon as marital conflict is revealed and magnified, the comment section begins to act as a court. Reactions begin to sound like testimony, allegations are treated as evidence, and as comments accumulate, they begin to carry the force of collective moral judgement. This is what the DMC term as Moral Auditing. It is the phase in which marital conflict is transformed into reputational judgment and blame is distributed and apportioned by the publics in real-time.

This is evident in the Darasimi Lande controversy. Facebook commentators did not merely disagree with her in their reactions to her apology interview. They condemned her. She was labelled “shameless”, the interview was termed “baseless,” and her return to public speech was taken as further proof of moral failure. Even where a few voices called for forgiveness, the dominant tone remained punitive. In this case, speaking publicly was itself treated as wrongdoing. Shaming worked less as an effort to understand events and more as a way of enforcing public discipline.

The discussion about Yul–May/Judy presents an alternate perspective on the same event. People moved beyond merely being emotional in the Legit. ng debate in December 24, 2022. They argued about polygamy, consent, disclosure, rivalry and intergenerational harm. Such remarks like: Comments such as "If you're going to practice polygamy, make sure you marry someone who can handle it. Be open about it from the beginning" and "For those of us from polygamous families... the fights never stop" shifted the conversation into moral argument. Examples included personal experiences, cultural background, and memories. The thread was about more than just replies. It also became a place for passing judgment.

A similar pattern surfaced on X in January 2025 when word of Idibia's divorce spread,, but with a different attitude. It was no longer simply that the marriage had broken up. The focus changed from just the fact that the marriage was over. People started to discuss how the announcement should be viewed: as thoughtless, disrespectful, selfish, or long-awaited. Shares, reposts, and summaries quickly shifted the conversation from the reality of the divorce to opinions on its morality. During this time, how the separation was handled publicly became part of what people evaluated. Feelings of sympathy for Annie and blame directed

at 2Baba were more than just emotional reactions. They turned into reputations linked to the narrative.

Even the less prominent AY–Mabel situation got caught up in the same trend. When short statements about their marriage went public, people viewed them as hints instead of just simple remarks. Not speaking up could be seen as a sign of guilt. Holding back could be interpreted as a tactic. Being brief might suggest a hidden blame. This sheds light on the strong impact of moral judgment in online culture: small pieces of information can quickly turn into judgments. Research mentioned earlier in this paper helps clarify why this occurs. Public shaming on the internet often acts as a way to control society, and visible anger spreads more readily when disapproval appears to be widely accepted and easy to echo (Forestal, 2024; Puryear et al., 2024; Van Bavel et al., 2024).

In this way, Moral Auditing is where reaction becomes judgment. At this point, the problem is not that marital conflict has been made visible or emotional. The publics have become judges and they blame and give reputational value in real time. This helps achieve the second aim of the study by demonstrating how reactions of the audience influence the understanding of morality and gender in celebrity marriage disputes in Nigeria.

4.4 Gendered Scrutiny When Womanhood Bears the Clicks.

In Internet debates about celebrity marriages, moral judgment is not often equally shared. Women, whether wives, lovers, or “the other woman”, are more easily converted into symbols of honour or disgrace. The public usually focus on their tone, appearance, and respectability. The gravity of this is conveyed in an Igbo proverb: "Ihe e ji amata mmadụ bụ ihe o mere" (one's deeds define one's identity and reputation). That strain get much more unbearable in digital environments. A post is regarded as evidence. Silence is construed as confession. One instant could define a woman’s public identity, what people evaluate is sometimes not simply behaviour but also womanhood itself (Van Bavel et al., 2024; Im et al., 2022; Popoola, 2021).

This trend is particularly evident in responses to Darasimi Lande. Reactions to her apology interview did more than just talk about the alleged wrongdoing. They also reinforced the expectations of how a woman should act when facing public accusations. She was labelled “shameless”, the interview was unfounded, and her reappearance in public discussion was seen as evidence that she showed no remorse or fitting feminine behaviour (Lasaki, 2025). Even remarks that spoke of forgiveness still had to be made within the setting of already tarnished reputation.

And so it was not just what she had been accused of doing. Therefore, the concern was not just about what she was accused of but also about whether she seemed quiet, reserved, regretful, and socially acceptable following the scandal.

The imbalance is also evident in the conversations about Annie Idibia. The January-February 2025 reaction streams public support for her side by side with a more severe line of judgment. The discussion touched on her age, humiliation, and possible attractiveness. One remark questioned who would still marry Annie "now", while other posts were in justified 2Baba by means of male status, sexual freedom, or what men are claimed to suffer. The difference is revealing. As Annie bears the obvious weight of the breakup in the form of shame and lower public worth, whereas 2Baba is more readily taken into familiar tales of male independence, experience, or entitlement (Irene, 2025; YabaLeft, 2025). This is more than a typical internet cruelty. It demonstrates how the idea of public rupture is closely linked to the identity and the future of the woman.

The conversation about Yul, May, and Judy shows a similar trend in a different way. May is celebrated with admiration and compassion (seen as a queen, full of resilience and elegance) while Judy is given just a basic moral label. She faces blame not just for the collapse of the marriage, but also for stepping outside the limits of what is considered appropriate behaviour for women. This difference is important. One woman is seen as perfect, while the other is judged harshly, but these views are shaped by what society thinks instead of how they see themselves. In this moral judgment system, clear categories are easier to share than complicated personal stories. The “good woman” and the “bad woman” are simpler to spread than a deeper understanding of individual accounts of lived experiences (Legit.ng, 2022; Popoola, 2021).

Women are not even safe even when restrained. The April 2024 statement of Mabel Makun, “distance is my new response to disrespect”, was short, not direct, and calm. However, the moment it spread, people interpreted it in many different ways as a sign of dignity, silent reproach, repressed guilt, a clever tactic, even a sign of weakness. Some commenters urged to be patience and silent. Others viewed her choice to step away as evidence that she also “had something planned”. This demonstrates how challenging it is for women to face scrutiny in online environments. No matter if a woman talks, remains quiet, walks away, forgive or declines any of these decisions can be twisted against her (Makun, 2024).

In all these situations, women face hasher judgments. They are also evaluated based on more limited expectations. The study about online harassment and gender based

harm clarify to the why language of respectability, feelings of shame, and reputational policing often weigh more heavily on women involved in similar issues (Fontanella et al., 2024, Silva et al., 2023; Im et al., 2022). From this perspective, Gendered Scrutiny illustrates how audience views are not balanced by gender, transforming celebrity marriage disputes into a public examination of femininity. This aligns with the second goal of the study by demonstrating how reactions from online audiences influence gendered views of celebrity marriage conflicts in Nigeria.

4.5 Displacement of Tradition: Elders Fall Silent and Screens Speak.

Marital disputes in traditional Nigerian communities, were not typically in the hands of the crowd. It was managed in family circles and mentored by elders and religious leaders whose influence was based on experience, patience and restoration. Proverbs like: Ìkànsí ìdílé ní ń ṣèbè lójú a ngba (family conflicts are resolved in front of elders) and Egbe bere, ugo bere (let the eagle perch and let the hawk perch) imply more than discretion. They portray a perception of conflict as something that must be slowed down, ought to be fair, and dealt with without public humiliation.

That moral language has not been displaced by platform culture, but it has altered its place and mode of operation. Online users are now replacing and performing the duty of traditional elders in the digital space through online publics, repost pages and comment threads. The private counsel is no longer the primary means of resolution. It has now moved to visibility, audience involvement, and replies. What was once a private mediation, has now become a public confrontation in open digital spaces. This does not imply that the change is solely social. It also influences the principles of conflict management, with slower types of repair replaced by instant commentary (Milli et al., 2025; Huszár et al., 2022).

This is evident in the Darasimi Lande scandal. The responses to her apology interview were more than a response to what she supposedly did. Her decision to speak publicly was considered as another wrong. Just going to interview was seen as an indication that she had not demonstrated restraint as expected of her after the scandal. The same tendency can be observed in the Idibia materials. A number users opposed not just the very announcement of the divorce, and even more the fact that it was announced at all. In both instances the audiences were calling on the virtue of discretion as well as keeping the controversy alive by responding, reposting, and morally commenting. Tradition is not in fact expelled. It is recreated in a venue where constraint is far more difficult to uphold (Irene, 2025; Lasaki, 2025; YabaLeftOnline, 2025).

This conflict is more evident during the last stage of the DMC, Symbolic Resolution and Cultural Afterlife. In the traditional systems of restorative justice, the conclusion of the process typically revolves around the idea of reintegration with the help of apology, restraint, settlement, and nonverbal repair. While on social media, there is a tendency to have thin and short-lived closure. It can be in the form of a deleted post, a succinct remark, a silence, a moment of reconciliation, or a public attempt to signal closure and move forward. Nevertheless, the issue seldom ends at that point. Rather, it is transferred to a digital afterlife where screenshots, re-posted videos, past posts, and re-created threads continue to spread reputational memory. The commentary on Darasimi for instance, highlights how a subsequent motivational post re-activated previous shame. Similarly, earlier Yul-May and Idibia texts were still circulating long after the original incidents had faded.

5.0 Conclusion: When the Wrapper Comes Undone

The wrapper of a woman (ìró or àkwà) is not merely a piece of fabric in the cultural imagination of Nigeria. It confers meaning of identity, protection, dignity and security. When it comes undone in public, it conveys suffering, chaos and loss of honour. This paper has demonstrated that the digital era not only has relaxed these symbolic boundaries, it has also put them into public spaces. Through celebrity reveals on Instagram, and the broader discourse that emerged in 20212025 in X, Instagram, and Facebook, a conflict within marriage that could have remained quiet within the kinship mediated environment is now taken over by timelines and comment sections, where private marital issue turns into public drama.

On all four cases, a repeated pattern emerged: Exposure, Amplification, Moral Auditing, and Symbolic Resolution and Cultural Afterlife. These were not merely troubled marriages. They were also brought into the open as performances that were influenced in the Digital Morality Circuit (DMC) that this study identifies. The paper reveals how social media transforms private marital conflict into shared story, the manner in which audience responses enhance gendered perception, and the way in which digital mediation undermines conventional ways of discretion and healing.

At the same time, the study shows that secrecy, dignity, and reconciliation now take on new meanings in digital culture. What was once handled within trusted circles can now be reposted, debated, and prolonged by strangers. Both women and men are drawn into this process, although the burden falls more heavily on women. Emotional pain becomes something that is circulated, interpreted, and judged in public. In many cases, concern for healing gives way to concern for reputation and visibility.

This conclusion does not romanticize silence or deny the value of honest public dialogue. Instead, it calls for discretion without denial (a hybrid moral culture where truth does not have to trend to be acknowledged, and where dignity is not sacrificed for engagement. The unravelling of the marital wrapper should not signal disgrace) but neither should it become spectacle. If we must grieve, let it be with care. If we must post, let it be with wisdom. And if we must comment, let it be with empathy. Only then can we re-tie what the scroll has loosened and return healing to where it began: the heart of the home.

References

Adeoba, 'G., & Yékú, J. (2025). Cultural netizenship as platformization of popular culture in Nigeria. *International Journal of Cultural Studies, 28*(1), 260–277. https://doi.org/10.1177/13678779241252997

Adriaansen, R.-J., & Smit, R. (2025). Collective memory and social media. *Current Opinion in Psychology, 65*, 102077. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2025.102077

Ahmad, M., & Wilkins, S. (2025). Purposive sampling in qualitative research: A framework for the entire journey. *Quality & Quantity, 59*(2), 1461–1479. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-024-02022-5

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2022). Toward good practice in thematic analysis: Avoiding common problems and be(com)ing a knowing researcher. *International Journal of Transgender Health, 24*(1), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1080/26895269.2022.2129597

Couldry, N., & Hepp, A. (2016). *The mediated construction of reality*. Polity Press.

Edochie, Y. [@yuledochie]. (2022, April 27). *It's time for the world to meet my son. His name is Star Dike Munachimso Yul-Edochie. Born by my second wife, Judy Austin. And I love him so much* [Instagram post]. Instagram. https://www.instagram.com/p/Cc24LJdsrn9/.

Fontanella, L., Chulvi, B., Ignazzi, E., Sarra, A., & Tontodimamma, A. (2024). How do we study misogyny in the digital age? A systematic literature review using a computational linguistic approach. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 11*, Article 478. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-02978-7

Forestal, J. (2024). Social media, social control, and the politics of public shaming. *American Political Science Review, 118*(4), 1704–1718. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055423001053

Harrington, C. (2025). Making ethical judgement calls about qualitative social media research on sensitive issues. *International Journal of Social Research*

Methodology, 28(4), 397–409. https://doi.org/10.1080/13645579.2024.2393796

Hepp, A. (2019). *Deep mediatization*. Routledge.

Huszár, F., Ktena, S. I., O'Brien, C., Belli, L., Schlaikjer, A., Hardt, M., & Szegedy, C. (2022). Algorithmic amplification of politics on Twitter. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 119*(1), e2025334119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2025334119

Irene, S. [@abena_mooley]. (2025, January 27). Thread Wrap: The 2Baba & Annie Idibia Divorce. 2Baba confirmed his divorce from Annie after denying claims of an account hack. The IG announcement sparked mixed reactions over how it was handled [Post]. X. https://x.com/abena_mooley/status/1883778313321136240

Im, J., Schoenebeck, S., Iriarte, M., Grill, G., Wilkinson, D., Batool, A., Alharbi, R., Funwie, A., Gankhuu, T., Gilbert, E., & Naseem, M. (2023). Women's perspectives on harm and justice after online harassment. *arXiv*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2301.11733

Inya, O. (2021). "Egungun be careful, na express you dey go": Socialising a newcomer-celebrity and co-constructing relational connection on Twitter Nigeria. *Journal of Pragmatics, 184*, 140–151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2021.08.005

Lasaki, E. (2025, April 7). *Truth or dare: Lande's wife gets insulted over motivational quote after bedroom sport with Baba Tee*. *Legit.ng*.

https://www.facebook.com/legitngdaily/posts/after-yul-edochies-wife-may-refused-to-be-numbered-in-her-own-home-actress-uchen/3330997667112960/

Makun, M. [@realmabelmakun]. (2024, April 7). *Distance is my new response to disrespect. I don't react, I don't argue, I don't dive into the drama. I simply remove myself* [Instagram reel]. Instagram. https://www.instagram.com/reel/C5eJiKtoGi-/

Marwick, A. E., & boyd, d. (2011). I tweet honestly, I tweet passionately: Twitter users, context collapse, and the imagined audience. *New Media & Society, 13*(1), 114–133. https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444810365313

Markham, A., & Buchanan, E. (2012). *Ethical decision-making and Internet research: Version 2.0. Recommendations from the AoIR Ethics Working Committee*. Association of Internet Researchers.

Milli, S., Carroll, M., Wang, Y., Pandey, S., Zhao, S., & Dragan, A. D. (2025). Engagement, user satisfaction, and the amplification of divisive content on social media. *PNAS Nexus, 4*(3), pgaf062. https://doi.org/10.1093/pnasnexus/pgaf062

Papacharissi, Z. (2014). *Affective publics: Sentiment, technology, and politics*. Oxford University Press.

Puryear, C., Vandello, J. A., & Gray, K. (2024). Moral panics on social media are fueled by signals of virality. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 127*(1), 84–103. https://doi.org/10.1037/pspa0000379

Silva, M., Anaba, U., Jani Tulsani, N., Sripad, P., Walker, J., & Aisiri, A. (2023). Gender-based violence narratives in internet-based conversations in Nigeria: Social listening study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research, 25*, e46814. https://doi.org/10.2196/46814

Van Dijck, J., Poell, T., & de Waal, M. (2018). *The platform society: Public values in a connective world*. Oxford University Press.

Van Bavel, J. J., Robertson, C. E., del Rosario, K., Rasmussen, J., & Rathje, S. (2024). Social media and morality. *Annual Review of Psychology, 75*, 311–340. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-022123-110258

YabaLeftOnline. (2025). *"We know what is going on behind closed doors, and time will tell" – Toke Makinwa slams 2Baba for publicly announcing his separation from his wife, Annie Idibia* [Facebook post]. Facebook.

Zhang, Y., Whitfield, C., Bazarova, N. N., & Schoenebeck, S. (2024). Reporting of ethical considerations in qualitative research utilizing social media data on public health care: Scoping review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research, 26*, e51496. https://doi.org/10.2196/51496