A Conceptual Examination of Media Fragmentation and Multi-Screening: Intersections and Distinctions

[1]Habibullah Ibrahim Mahmoud,[2]Olamide Hammed Olufadi, [3]Fatiu Tope Olohungbebe, [4]Balarabe Ayuba Olufadi, [5]Waheed Olayiwola Lawal, [6]Abdul-Lateef Bolaji Yahaya, [7]Mohammed Sode

[1&2]Summit University, Offa
[3,4,5 ,6 & 7] Kwara State Polytechnic, Ilorin
[1]mahmoud.ibrahim@summituniversity.edu.ng
[1]habibullahmahmoud001@gmail.com, [1]07062293173
[2]Olufadi.hammed@summituniversity.edu.ng
[2]oolufadi@googlemail.com, [2]09039534040
[3]fatiuolohungbebe@gmail.com,08067096403
[4]ayubaolufadi@gmail.com,07039144024
[5]waheed.lawal@kwasu.edu.ng,08035948116
[6]yahayaabdullateefbolaji@gmail.com,09084665290
[7]Smsode79@gmail.com,08035404066

Abstract
In a time marked by remarkable technological progress and changing cultural paradigms, the phenomena of media fragmentation and multi-screening have emerged as pivotal areas of inquiry within the fields of communication and media studies. Despite their prominence in scholarly discourse, media fragmentation and multi-screening are frequently addressed in isolation, leading to a fragmented understanding of their interrelations. There is also prevalent confusion and misplacement of meanings surrounding both concepts in contemporary scholarly discourse. These concepts, while interrelated, embody distinct dimensions of media consumption that necessitate careful examination and clarification. This paper bridges this gap by providing a robust conceptual framework that elucidates the distinctive interplay between these phenomena. This paper argues that a comprehensive analysis of their differences and mutuality is not merely an academic exercise but an urgent necessity for understanding the future of media consumption. This paper further draws on interdisciplinary insights by marrying theories from communication studies, sociology, and technology to create a holistic perspective. By unmasking the complex dynamics of media fragmentation and multi-screening, this paper contributes to a deeper understanding of the contemporary media landscape by challenging scholars and practitioners alike to rethink the implications of our increasingly fragmented and multi-screened world. The paper concluded that while their mutual reinforcement reflects a dynamic relationship, the differences between the two concepts—ranging from their focus on interaction and cognitive implications to their impact on social engagement—highlight the complexities present in understanding modern media landscapes.

Keywords: media fragmentation, multi-screening, mutualities, audience segmentation, long-tail

Introduction

In a time marked by remarkable technological progress and changing cultural paradigms, the phenomena of media fragmentation and multi-screening have emerged as pivotal areas of inquiry within the fields of media studies and communication (Smith, 2020). This paper attempts to dissect these intertwined concepts, revealing not only their distinctive characteristics but also their complex interdependencies in shaping contemporary media consumption behaviours.

Media fragmentation refers to the disaggregation of audiences across diverse platforms, resulting in a splintering of attention and a diversification of content. This shift has profound implications for both content creators and consumers, as traditional notions of mass media dissolve into a more chaotic landscape where niche audiences dominate (Levitin, 2015). Conversely, multi-screening—the simultaneous engagement with multiple devices—exemplifies the adaptive strategies employed by consumers to navigate this fragmented environment. Here, we witness a reconfiguration of media interaction that demands a critical re-evaluation of how audiences engage with content across various screens and formats (Rosen, 2016).

Despite their prominence in scholarly discourse, media fragmentation and multi-screening are frequently addressed in isolation, leading to a fragmented understanding of their interrelations. Furthermore, there is prevalent confusion and misplacement of meanings surrounding both concepts in contemporary scholarly discourse. These concepts, while interrelated, embody distinct dimensions of media consumption that necessitate careful examination and clarification. This point is eminently captured by Smith (2020, p88):

> As the media landscape evolves, the conflation of terms such as media fragmentation and multi-screening has led to significant misunderstandings in both academic and practical contexts. Clear differentiation between these concepts is crucial for advancing our understanding of audience behaviour and media strategies. Without this clarity, we risk perpetuating confusion that undermines the effectiveness of media research and application.

This paper seeks to bridge this gap by providing a robust conceptual framework that elucidates the distinctive interplay between these phenomena. This paper argues that a comprehensive analysis of their differences and mutuality is not merely an academic exercise but an urgent necessity for understanding the future

of media consumption. The implications extend beyond theoretical discourse, influencing practical applications in media production, marketing strategies, and audience engagement.

This paper draws on interdisciplinary insights by marrying theories from communication studies, sociology, and technology studies to create a holistic perspective. By unmasking the complex dynamics of media fragmentation and multi-screening, this paper seeks to contribute to a deeper understanding of the contemporary media landscape by challenging scholars and practitioners alike to rethink the implications of our increasingly fragmented and multi-screened world. Hence, it is pertinent to recognize that the evolution of media consumption is not merely a trend but a significant transformation that demands our critical attention.

Conceptual Clarification: Deconstructing Media Fragmentation and Multi-screening

Media Fragmentation

The term "media fragmentation" has gained impressive attention in academic discourse, particularly as the landscape of media consumption continues to evolve in the digital age. Generally defined as the division of media audiences across a growing number of channels and platforms, media fragmentation reflects broader societal shifts in how individuals' access and engage with content (Smith, 2020; Rosen, 2016; Levitin, 2015). Media fragmentation is a complex and multifaceted concept in contemporary media studies. Scholars have approached its definition from various perspectives, each highlighting different aspects of how media consumption patterns have changed in the digital age.

Marshall McLuhan's early work suggests that "the medium is the message," implying that the rise of new media forms inherently fragments the way content is received and understood. While McLuhan does not explicitly use the term "media fragmentation", his notion that the medium itself influences the message offers a foundational understanding of fragmentation. His work suggests that changes in media technology can lead to a transformation in audience engagement and interpretation, paving the way for the fragmentation of meaning and experience in contemporary media landscapes (Foehr, 2016).

Napoli (2015) defines media fragmentation as the diversification of media content and the resulting dispersal of audiences across a multitude of platforms, channels, and sources. This definition emphasizes both content diversification and audience dispersal. Napoli's perspective reflects the dual nature of fragmentation,

acknowledging that as media content becomes more varied, audiences are less likely to gather around a common source of information. This fragmentation complicates the role of media in shaping public discourse and community identity.

Holt (2017) describes media fragmentation as the division of audiences into smaller, more specialized groups based on their media consumption habits and preferences. Holt's definition highlights the role of audience segmentation in media fragmentation. By focusing on specialized groups, this definition suggests that fragmentation not only leads to a decrease in shared cultural experiences but also allows for more targeted content that can cater to niche interests. This has implications for advertisers and content creators, who must navigate a landscape where mass appeal is increasingly elusive.

Anderson (2016, p. 12)characterizes media fragmentation through the lens of the long-tail theory, stating that "the internet allows for the distribution of niche content, enabling a broad array of media options that cater to specific audience interests". This definition links fragmentation to the democratization of content distribution enabled by digital platforms. Anderson's perspective underscores the positive aspect of fragmentation, where niche markets can thrive alongside mainstream media. However, it also raises questions about the potential for isolated consumption patterns and the impact on collective cultural narratives.

Bennett and Segerberg (2019) define media fragmentation as the result of networked communication technologies that enable individuals to interact with media content across various platforms, leading to a personalized media experience. This definition frames fragmentation as a product of technological advancements, emphasizing interactivity and personalization. The authors suggest that while fragmentation may reduce shared experiences, it also fosters new forms of engagement and participation in social and political processes. This perspective highlights the dual nature of fragmentation, offering both challenges and opportunities for civic engagement.

The above definitions of media fragmentation illustrate the complexity of the concept. First, they emphasize how audiences are increasingly dispersed and selective, which has implications for marketers and content creators seeking to engage diverse consumer segments. Second, the loss of shared cultural narratives and collective experiences is a recurring theme, highlighting concerns about social cohesion in an increasingly fragmented media environment. Third, these

definitions point to the potential for enhanced interactivity and participation, suggesting that fragmentation can lead to more personalized and engaged audiences. Furthermore, the role of technology as a driving force behind fragmentation is a critical theme, emphasizing the need for media studies to consider the impact of digital platforms on content distribution and consumption. A practical example of media fragmentation can be observed in the way people consume news and entertainment in the modern digital age, where individuals no longer rely on traditional media sources like TV, newspapers, or radio alone. Social media platforms such as Twitter and Facebook allow individuals to access news in real-time, often with a focus on specific topics that interest them. People follow news outlets, journalists, or public figures, creating personalized feeds. When people follow specific sources like news outlets, journalists, or public figures, algorithms track these actions to understand what topics, tones, and styles resonate with the user. Based on this data, the algorithms prioritize content from these sources, and also recommend similar content that matches the user's established patterns of consumption.

Theoretical Underpinnings

Media fragmentation is rooted in the concepts of audience segmentation and the long-tail theory. Anderson (2016) posits that the internet has enabled niche content to thrive, thus creating a fragmented media environment. This shift challenges traditional models of mass communication that assume a unified audience receiving information from a limited number of sources (Gill, 2015). Scholars (e.g. Hesmondhalgh, 2016; Lotz, 2022) argue that the increasing availability of diverse media outlets has led to a splintering of public discourse, complicating the ability of media to serve as a cohesive societal glue (Bennett & Segerberg, 2019).

The long-tail theory, articulated by Chris Anderson, posits that in a digital marketplace, niche products can collectively surpass the sales of a few mainstream products. Media fragmentation aligns with this theory by demonstrating how audiences are increasingly dispersed across multiple platforms and content types, leading to a more segmented media landscape. Scholars (e.g. De Lannoy,2023;Madianou& Miller, 2015)) argue that this fragmentation is a direct consequence of the democratization of content creation and distribution facilitated by the internet.

Research by Webster& Ksiazek(2015) also indicates that digital consumers exhibit diverse preferences, often gravitating toward niche content that reflects

their individual identities and interests. This phenomenon is documented in studies that highlight the shift from a "one-size-fits-all" media approach to a personalized media consumption model (Bennett & Strange, 2016). The long-tail theory explains that as consumers have more options, they will explore beyond mainstream offerings, fostering a fragmented media landscape where niche genres and independent productions can flourish.

Furthermore, the economic model underlying the long-tail theory suggests that revenue can be generated not just from blockbuster hits, but also from a multitude of niche products. This has significant implications for media companies, which are increasingly adopting hybrid revenue models that include subscription services, advertising tailored to niche audiences, and crowdfunding for independent projects (Hesmondhalgh, 2017). Scholars (e.g. Leonidis, Korozi, Kouroumalis, Adamakis, Milathianakis, & Stephanidis,2021; Shapiro, 2024) note that media fragmentation allows for the economic viability of niche content, thus validating the long-tail model within the media industry.

The role of technology in facilitating media fragmentation cannot be swept under the rug. Algorithms and recommendation systems on platforms like Netflix, Spotify, and YouTube serve to curate and promote niche content, embodying the long-tail concept by enabling discovery beyond mainstream hits (Napoli, 2015). This technology-driven approach not only caters to fragmented audience preferences but also reinforces the viability of the long tail in media consumption.

Media fragmentation allows for diverse voices and perspectives to emerge, challenging dominant cultural narratives. The long-tail theory posits that this diversity is not just a byproduct of technology but also a crucial element of cultural evolution in a digital society (Jenkins, 2016). Studies (e.g. Christina & Yip, 2020; Livingstone & Helsper, 2018; Haller, 2016) have shown that fragmented media consumption enables marginalized groups to find representation and build communities around niche interests, further enriching the media landscape.

A critical evaluation of these studies highlights certain limitations. First, they could be critiqued for overly romanticizing the democratization of content and ignoring potentialdownsides, such as the algorithmic echo chamber effect, where users are increasingly exposed only to content that reinforces existing preferences, thus narrowing their worldview. Second, one could argue that there focus on the economic viability of niche content oversimplifies the challengesfaced by smaller producers. While niche content can be profitable, it also requires overcoming

significant challenges such as discoverability and market saturation, especially as more content producers flood the market. Third, while the role of algorithms is recognized, the potential biases in these systems are not fully addressed. Algorithms often prioritize content based on engagement metrics, which may lead to the proliferation of certain types of content (e.g., sensational or viral media) while underrepresenting other niche content that could be more meaningful but less engaging. Furthermore, these studies did not explore how representation in niche media might still be limited by issues like access to technology or economic barriers. For instance, certain groups may have the potential to create niche content, but may still face significant challenges in terms of visibility, reach, or financial support, which could undermine the inclusive potential of fragmented media.

Comparative Analysis of Competing Views on Media Fragmentation

Scholars have debated the implications of media fragmentation in terms of its effects on audience behaviour, the structure of the media industry, and the broader socio-political consequences. While there is no single consensus on the phenomenon, competing viewpoints emphasize either the negative consequences of fragmentation or its transformative potential.

A dominant view among scholars is that media fragmentation leads to negative consequences, particularly in terms of the erosion of a shared public sphere. This view suggests that as audiences retreat into niche content consumption, the potential for collective discourse and a unified public dialogue diminishes. According to Webster and Ksiazek (2015), fragmentation leads to the "decentralization of public attention," weakening the foundation of democratic deliberation. The theory posits that as individuals self-select media content tailored to their preferences, there is a growing ideological isolation, where consumers are less exposed to diverse perspectives. This fragmentation, therefore, poses a threat to the idea of a shared civic space, as citizens increasingly engage only with media that confirms their pre-existing beliefs.

Madianou and Miller (2015), while focusing on migration and new media, highlight how this personalization of media consumption contributes to the rise of "polymedia"—a concept where people use multiple media platforms to create tailored communication environments that further segregate experiences. Their work suggests that while polymedia facilitates connection within personal circles, it also encourages individuals to form isolated, echo-chamber-like bubbles, which can contribute to the fragmentation of societal conversations and public trust.

Contrasting the negative perspective, some scholars argue that media fragmentation offers transformative potential by enabling new forms of engagement and the democratization of content creation. Lotz (2022), for instance, sees the proliferation of streaming platforms and mobile media as an opportunity to empower individuals and marginalized communities who were previously excluded from mainstream media channels. Based onthis submission, the shift away from traditional television networks provides a platform for diverse voices and independent creators, thereby promoting a more inclusive media environment.

In line with this view, De Lannoy (2023) contends that media fragmentation, far from being a problem, is a vital force in reshaping the media industry. Fragmented media ecosystems allow for the growth of niche markets and specialized content, allowing content creators to engage more deeply with their audiences and foster more authentic connections. By decentralizing power from traditional gatekeepers, media fragmentation enables a democratization of content production and consumption, which ultimately serves to enrich the media landscape rather than diminish it.

Despite the opposing views, a growing body of scholarship suggests that the effects of media fragmentation are not inherently positive or negative, but instead depend on how media systems and consumers navigate the fragmented landscape. In this view, the challenges and opportunities presented by media fragmentation must be understood in a more distinct way.

For instance, Abdelzaher et al. (2020) suggest that media fragmentation exacerbates ideological divides, yet they also recognize the potential for new forms of collective action through digital platforms. While acknowledging the risks of fragmentation in creating ideological silos, their work argues that these divides can be mitigated by fostering cross-platform engagement and promoting digital literacy. Similarly, Leonidis et al. (2021) explore the potential for multi-screening environments to facilitate richer, more interactive media experiences. They argue that fragmentation may be viewed as an opportunity for developing new media technologies and strategies that create more interactive and personalized user experiences.

Multi-screening

Multi-screening has become a prevalent behaviour and increasingly relevant in understanding contemporary media consumption. Scholars (e.g. Hoffmann

&Novak, 2019; Lie, Chua & Lee, 2017; Perrin & Duggan, 2015) have defined multi-screening in various ways, each emphasizing different aspects of this behaviour.

Hoffman and Novak (2019) define multi-screening as the simultaneous use of multiple digital devices by consumers, often in a context where one device serves as the primary source of media content while others serve supplementary roles. This definition highlights the hierarchical nature of device usage, where one screen takes precedence. This perspective is important for understanding how audiences prioritize their attention and engage with content. It suggests that the primary screen (e.g., television) can influence interactions on secondary devices (e.g., smartphones), shaping the overall media experience.

Lie, Chua and Lee (2017) describe multi-screening as the concurrent use of two or more screens for various purposes, such as enhancing the viewing experience, social interaction, or information seeking. This definition emphasizes the diverse motivations behind multi-screening behaviour. It captures the complexity of audience engagement, recognizing that users may engage in multi-screening for different reasons, such as enriching their content experience or seeking social connections. This highlights the multifaceted nature of media consumption in the digital age. For example, a person might be watching a cooking show on YouTube on their tablet, while simultaneously using their smartphone to follow a recipe, check cooking tips, or even interact with fellow viewers in the comment section. The tablet and phone are working together to enhance the viewing experience.

Perrin and Duggan (2015) define multi-screening as the practice of using multiple devices to consume differentmedia contents simultaneously, reflecting a shift in how audiences interact with media in a connected environment. This definition situates multi-screening within the broader context of a connected media landscape. It underscores the transformative impact of technology on audience behaviour, suggesting that multi-screening is a natural outcome of increased connectivity and access to diverse contents. This framing invites discussions about how digital media consumption patterns are reshaping social interactions and viewing experiences. For instance, imagine someone at home watching a TV show on his/her television. While the show is playing, s/he is also using a mobile phone to check social media updates, reply to messages, and browse the internet. Additionally, the individual might have his/her laptop open to check emails or read articles. In this scenario, the person is multi-screening because s/he is

simultaneously engaging with content across multiple screens—TV, smartphone, and laptop—often for different purposes (entertainment, communication, information).

Wirth, Hofer and Schramm (2016) characterize multi-screening as the interactive engagement with multiple screens that allows users to enhance, modify, or discuss their media consumption in real-time. This definition emphasizes interactivity and real-time engagement, positioning multi-screening as an active rather than passive behaviour. It highlights the potential for audience to not only consume but also interact with content dynamically, fostering a participatory culture. This perspective is crucial for understanding how social media platforms and real-time discussions influence viewer engagement. A practical example is when someone is watching a live sports game on TV. As s/he watches the game on television, s/he is also using the smartphone to engage in social media by checking X for live updates or sharing his/her thoughts about the game with friends, look up additional information about the players, teams, or statistics on a tablet or laptop to get more context about the game s/he is watching; and check live scores of other games happening at the same time on another device.

Sung, Kim and Kang (2015) describe multi-screening as a behaviour where users shift their attention across multiple screens, often leading to a fragmented viewing experience that challenges traditional media consumption patterns. This definition points to the cognitive implications of multi-screening, particularly the notion of attention fragmentation. It raises important questions about how attention is allocated across devices and the potential consequences for comprehension and engagement. This perspective underscores the need to consider the cognitive demands of multi-screening in both media production and audience studies.

The definitions of multi-screening illustrate its complexity and multifaceted nature. First, they emphasize different aspects of audience engagement, from enhancing experiences to facilitating social interactions. Understanding these motivations can inform content creation strategies that resonate with multi-screen users. Second, thedefinitions highlight the potential for cognitive overload and attention fragmentation, which can impact the quality of media consumption. This raises critical concerns for content producers and marketers regarding audience retention and message effectiveness. Third, the definitions situate multi-screening within the context of technological advancements and increased connectivity, suggesting that the behaviour is driven by the availability of devices and platforms. Furthermore, these definitions emphasize the participatory aspects of

multi-screening, indicating that it can foster new forms of interaction and engagement. This has implications for how media content is produced and consumed in a connected environment.

A practical example of multi-screening for media practitioners is Live Streaming with Interactive Features. Sports broadcasters now offer multi-screen experiences by streaming games live while providing real-time statistics, player bios, and other interactive features on additional screens (smartphones, tablets, etc.). For example, platforms like ESPN and the NFL app allow viewers to follow live scores, view alternative camera angles, and engage in social media discussions while watching the game. Here, sports media companies must adopt strategies that combine traditional broadcast with interactive features across multiple screens to engage viewers. This means investing in apps and second-screen experiences that complement the viewing experience. Advertisers can also leverage multi-screening behaviours to target viewers on both TV and mobile with dynamic, real-time ads during events.

Taken together,the definitions point to critical gaps in understanding the interplay between different motivations, the impact on attention and comprehension, and the role of demographic or contextual factors.

A painstaking evaluation of the diversity in definitions of multi-screening presents challenges for creating a unified framework for understanding this behaviour.Many definitions focus solely on simultaneous use of devices, neglecting more complex or sequential behaviours, and often fail to account for individual differences, device interactions, or the evolving nature of technology. Additionally, some definitions overlook the cognitive and emotional outcomes of multi-screening, such as cognitive overload, and fail to consider non-visual devices or the broader context in which multi-screening occurs. These inconsistencies limit comparability between studies and hinder a comprehensive understanding of multi-screening's impact.

Theoretical Frameworks

Media Convergence: Jenkins (2016) posits that multi-screening is an integral aspect of media convergence, where old and new media intersect, allowing users to navigate and interact with content across multiple platforms. This convergence fosters an environment where audiences curate their viewing experiences, integrating different media formats.

At the technological level, media convergence emphasizes the integration of content across various devices (e.g., smartphones, tablets, televisions, laptops). Multi-screening is a direct manifestation of this convergence, as individuals use multiple devices—often in a complementary and interactive manner—to engage with the same or related content. For example, a viewer may watch a TV show on their television while interacting with additional content, such as following social media conversations on a smartphone or accessing supplementary information on a tablet. In this context, technological convergence enables users to seamlessly navigate between different platforms, reinforcing the idea that media consumption is no longer confined to a single device or medium.

Cognitive Load Theory (CLT): Cognitive overload is a critical concern in multi-screening. Studies indicate that the simultaneous processing of information from multiple sources can lead to reduced focus and diminished comprehension (Sweller, 1988). This theory suggests that while multi-screening may provide richer content engagement, it may also impede deep cognitive processing. With multiple streams of content demanding attention, users must divide their cognitive resources between devices, which can lead to cognitive overload—particularly if the devices are presenting complex or conflicting information. According to CLT, this excess cognitive load can hinder the user's ability to effectively process and retain information, reducing engagement with the primary content (e.g., TV show) and impairing comprehension.

Uses and Gratifications Theory (U&G): This framework highlights the active role of audiences in selecting media to fulfil specific needs. Katz, Blumler and Gurevitch (1973) suggest that individuals engage in consumption of media content to achieve various gratifications, such as entertainment, information-seeking, or social interaction. As a result, multi-screening can be viewed as a strategic choice by users to enhance their media experience.

From a U&G perspective, multi-screening is an extension of the theory's basic tenets—audiences actively choose media channels that fulfil specific needs. Shao (2020) notes that multi-screening behaviour is motivated by both utilitarian and hedonic needs, with users simultaneously seeking entertainment (e.g., streaming content on a television while browsing social media on a phone) and information (e.g., using smartphones to research a product while watching advertisements on TV). These behaviours challenge the idea that media consumption is a passive activity and demonstrate how U&G remains relevant in understanding the dynamic, user-driven nature of media engagement in the digital age.

However, U&G's assumption that individuals use media for goal-oriented purposes may need to be re-examined in the context of multi-screening. Fitzgerald et al. (2021) argue that multi-screening can sometimes be less about intentional goal achievement and more about navigating the overwhelming availability of information and stimulation in modern media environments. While U&G originally emphasized clear gratification outcomes from media use, in multi-screening, the gratification may be diffuse—viewers engage with multiple screens simultaneously, often without a concrete, singular purpose but rather to satisfy a continuous flow of diverse needs such as social interaction, entertainment, or the avoidance of boredom. This poses a challenge for traditional U&G, which assumes more discrete and purpose-driven media consumption.

Thus, incorporating multi-screening into U&G requires expanding the framework to account for the dynamic, fragmented, and often simultaneous nature of contemporary media consumption. Leung & Wei (2022) extended U&G by proposing a conceptualization of "dual gratifications" to better explain multi-screening. They suggest that users derive satisfaction not just from one medium but from the interplay of multiple platforms. Multi-screening allows for a convergence of different gratifications: informational needs are met through one device while social needs are satisfied through another. This dual-gratification model helps reconcile the fragmentation inherent in multi-screening with U&G's emphasis on individual agency and active media consumption.

Media Fragmentation and Multi-screening: Mutualities and Differences

Media fragmentation and multi-screening phenomena reflect the complexities of how individuals interact with media, yet they possess distinct characteristics and implications. The mutuality between these two concepts is situated within three core areas.

First, is the aspect of Interconnected Audience Behaviour. Media fragmentation and multi-screening are mutually reinforcing behaviours. Napoli (2015) suggests that the proliferation of content across various platforms leads audiences to seek information from multiple sources, promoting multi-screening as a strategy to manage this diversity. This relationship indicates that as media become more fragmented, the likelihood of audiences engaging with multiple screens concurrently increases.

Second, is the aspect of Enhanced Engagement. Jenkins (2016) emphasizes the role of participatory culture in facilitating both media fragmentation and multi-screening. Audiences now have the power to curate their media experiences across various devices, allowing for richer engagement. This mutuality suggests that fragmented media landscapes encourage users to leverage multiple screens to enhance their overall consumption experience, integrating content from different sources.

Third, is in Adaptive Content Creation. The relationship between fragmentation and multi-screening is evident in the strategies employed by media producers. Wirth et al. (2016) highlight that content creators are increasingly designing materials that cater to multi-screening behaviour, acknowledging that audiences may be consuming content on various devices simultaneously. This adaptive approach reflects the interconnectedness of both phenomena, as fragmentation drives the need for multi-screening-friendly content.

Despite the seemingly intricate connection showcased between these concepts, they are not the same and thus, differ in three core perspectives.

The first point of difference is in the Focus of Interaction.While both concepts involve the use of multiple media, they differ in focus. Media fragmentation primarily concerns the dispersion of audiences across various platforms, resulting in a decline in shared cultural experiences (Putnam, 2015). In contrast, multi-screening emphasizes the simultaneous use of devices, highlighting how audiences actively engage with content across different screens rather than merely consuming fragmented media.

Second, is the Cognitive Implications. Multi-screening introduces unique cognitive challenges that are distinct from those posed by media fragmentation. Lie et al. (2017) argue that while media fragmentation can lead to reduced attention span and diluted engagement, multi-screening specifically complicates cognitive load management. Audiences may struggle to effectively process information from multiple screens, which can diminish comprehension and retention (Wang & Tchernev, 2018). This difference underscores the varying cognitive demands posed by each behaviour.

Third, is the Impact on Social Interaction.The social implications of fragmentation and multi-screening diverge significantly. Fragmentation often leads to isolated media consumption experiences, reducing the opportunities for collective

engagement (Putnam, 2015). Conversely, multi-screening can facilitate social interaction by enabling real-time discussions and connections across platforms (Sung et al., 2015). This distinction illustrates that while fragmentation can weaken social ties, multi-screening has the potential to enhance them through collaborative engagement.

Implications for Media Scholars and Practitioners

For media scholars, this paper encourages them to rethink existing theories of mass communication in light of the fragmentation of media audiences. It suggests that traditional models based on a unified audience receiving information from a few centralized sources are no longer sufficient. Media scholars will need to revise their theoretical frameworks to account for the more segmented, individualized ways in which people consume media today.

The intersections of media fragmentation and multi-screening invite scholars from different disciplines (e.g., communication studies, psychology, sociology, and technology studies) to collaborate. Scholars could interrogate the psychological effects of multi-screening, such as its influence on cognitive overload, attention span, and social interactions.

For media practitioners, the paper underscores the importance of adapting content to cater to fragmented audiences. In a multi-screening world, creators must consider how to optimize content for different devices and viewing environments (e.g., mobile, tablet, desktop, TV).Media practitioners must develop strategies to engage audiences across multiple screens simultaneously. This means creating cohesive cross-platform campaigns that integrate content across social media, apps, and traditional broadcast or streaming services.

As audience behaviour becomes more segmented, media companies and advertisers must rely on data analytics to understand the preferences and habits of their diverse audience groups. The paper suggests that data-driven insights will become even more critical as media fragmentation continues.

Practitioners, especially those working with digital platforms, need to consider the role of algorithms and recommendation systems in shaping content discovery. Platforms like Netflix, Spotify, and YouTube curate content based on users' preferences, which can reinforce media fragmentation by creating filter bubbles.

For media companies, the paper underscores the opportunity to engage marginalized or niche communities. As media fragmentation allows for greater diversity in content, practitioners have a chance to create content that resonates with a wider range of voices, fostering greater inclusivity and representation.

Conclusion

Media fragmentation and multi-screening are interrelated phenomena that shape contemporary media consumption. Their mutual reinforcement reflects a dynamic relationship where fragmented media landscapes promote multi-screening behaviours, fostering deeper audience engagement. However, the differences between the two concepts—ranging from their focus of interaction and cognitive implications to their impact on social engagement—highlight the complexities present in understanding modern media landscapes. Future papers need to explore these dynamics, particularly as emerging technologies further influence audience behaviours and expectations. This scholarly examination of the mutualities and differences between media fragmentation and multi-screening provides a distinct understanding of contemporary media consumption behaviours, emphasizing the need for ongoing scholarly inquiry in this rapidly evolving field.

References

Abdelzaher, T., Ji, H., Li, J., Yang, C., Dellaverson, J., Zhang, L., Xu, C., & Szymanski, B. K. (2020). The paradox of information access: Growing isolation in the age of sharing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.01967*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2004.01967

Anderson, C. (2016). *The Long Tail: Why the Future of Business is Selling Less of More*. Hyperion.

Bennett, L., & Strange, N. (2016). Media consumption and consumer behaviour. In *The Handbook of Media Consumption* (pp. 201-219). Wiley-Blackwell.

Bennett, W. L., & Segerberg, A. (2019). The Logic of Connective Action: Digital Media and the Personalization of Contentious Politics. *Information, Communication & Society*, *15*(5), 739-768.

Buil, I., Catalán, S., & Martínez, E. (2023). The effect of multi-screening on consumer behaviour: A study on attention, engagement, and emotional outcomes. *Journal of Media Psychology*, *35*(2), 102-118. https://doi.org/10.1080/01463373.2023.2120725

Castells, M. (2000). *The rise of the network society* (2nd ed.). Blackwell

Christina, H., & Yip, L. (2020). Transgender Representation on Social Media: Intersectionality and Visibility in the Digital Age. *Journal of Gender Studies, 29*(2), 160-172. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/09589236.2019.1703201

Cummings, K., & D'Angelo, M. (2021). The rise of multi-screening: Changing patterns of media consumption and their implications for advertisers. *Journal of Advertising Research*, *61*(4), 351-366. https://doi.org/10.2501/JAR-2021-037

De Haan, J., Visscher, A., & Smulders, F. (2016). Multi-Screen Advertising: A New Era of Consumer Engagement. *International Journal of Advertising*, 35(2), 235-257.

De Lannoy, P. (2023, June 15). Media fragmentation is an opportunity, not a barrier. *New Digital Age*. https://newdigitalage.co/ctv/media-fragmentation-is-an-opportunity-not-a-barrier/

Fitzgerald, J., Griffin, A., & Jones, R. (2021). Revisiting the uses and gratifications theory in the context of multi-screening. *Television & New Media*, *22*(6), 530-547. https://doi.org/10.1177/15274764211017260

Foehr, U. G. (2016). Media Use by Tweens: An Analysis of the Media Use Patterns of 8- to 12-Year-Olds. *The Henry J. Kaiser Family Foundation*.

Gill, R. (2015). The long tail of feminism. In *Feminist Media Studies15*(3), 337-344). https://doi.org/10.1080/14680777.2015.1045393

Haller, B. (2016). From Media to Mediated: The Role of Digital Technology in the Development of Disability Culture. *Disability Studies Quarterly, 36*(2). DOI: https://doi.org/10.16995/dsq.5185

Hesmondhalgh, D. (2017). *The Cultural Industries* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications

Hindman, M. (2019). *The Myth of Digital Democracy*. Princeton University Press.

Jenkins, H. (2016). *Convergence Culture: Where Old and New Media Collide*. New York University Press.

Katz, E., Blumler, J. G., & Gurevitch, M. (1973). Uses and Gratifications Research. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 37(4), 509-523.

Kaye, J. M. A. M. (2019). *Navigating the New Media Landscape: Strategies for Engagement*. Springer.

Leonidis, A., Korozi, M., Kouroumalis, V., Adamakis, E., Milathianakis, D., & Stephanidis, C. (2021). Going beyond second screens: Applications for the multi-display intelligent living room. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.10366*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2105.10366

Leung, L., & Wei, R. (2022). Dual gratifications in the era of multi-screening: A conceptual model. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, *66(*3), 433-449. https://doi.org/10.1080/08838151.2022.2085206

Levitin, D. J. (2015). *The Organized Mind: Thinking Straight in the Age of Information Overload*. Dutton.

Lie, D., Chua, A. Y. K., & Lee, L. L. (2017). The role of multi-screening in media consumption. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 29(12), 831-844.

Livingstone, S., & Helsper, E. (2018). Racial and Ethnic Representation in Digital Media: A Comparative Analysis of Diversity and Inclusivity in Online Content. *Journal of Digital Media & Policy, 9*(1), 19-38. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1386/jdmp.9.1.19_1

López, E., & Baños, R. (2021). The effects of multi-screening on cognitive load and emotional well-being: Implications for media use theory. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 115, 106592. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2020.106592

Lotz, A. D. (2022). *Netflix and streaming video: The business of subscriber-funded video on demand*. Polity Press.

Madianou, M., & Miller, D. (2015). *Migration and new media: Transnational families and polymedia*. Routledge.

McLuhan, M. (1964). *Understanding Media: The Extensions of Man*. McGraw-Hill.

Napoli, P. M. (2016). Media Diversity and Localism: A Critical Review. *Journal of Communication*, 61(1), 1-25.

Perrin, A., & Duggan, M. (2015). A Portrait of American Adults and Their Smartphone Habits. *Pew Research Centre*.

Putnam, R. D. (2015). *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community*. Simon & Schuster.

Rosen, P. M. (2016). *The Multiscreen Society: Understanding Consumer Behaviour in a Complex Media Environment*. Routledge.

Shao, Y. (2020). Investigating motivations behind multi-screening behaviours: An exploration of gratifications sought in digital media usage. *Journal of Media and Communication Studies*, *12*(1), 23-37. https://doi.org/10.5897/JMCS2020.0127

Shapiro, D. (2024, January 27). The year(s) ahead in media — Fragmentation. *Medium*. https://dougshapiro.medium.com/the-year-s-ahead-in-media-fragmentation-903a9f80d4e5

Smith, J. (2020). *Media Dynamics: Navigating the New Landscape*. Academic Press.

Sung, Y., Kim, J., & Kang, S. (2015). The effects of multi-screening on media use and user engagement. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 51, 1-11.

Sunstein, C. R. (2015). *Republic.com*. Princeton University Press.

Sweller, J. (1988). Cognitive Load During Problem Solving: Effects on Learning. *Cognitive Science*, 12(2), 257-285.

Tsfati, Y., & Peri, Y. (2017). Media Trust and Misinformation: An Overview of the Literature. *Journal of Media Psychology*, *22*(4), 179-189.

Valkenburg, P. M., & Peter, J. (2020). The role of media in adolescent development: Revisiting the uses and gratifications approach. *Media Psychology*, *23*(1), 61-85. https://doi.org/10.1080/15213269.2019.1586256

Wang, Y., & Tchernev, J. M. (2018). The Impact of Multi-Screening on Audience Engagement. *Communication Research*, *39*(5), 678-700.

Webster, J. G., & Ksiazek, T. B. (2015). The dynamics of audience fragmentation: Public attention in an age of digital media. *Journal of Communication*, 62(1), 39–56. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2011.01576.x

Wirth, W., Hofer, M., & Schramm, H. (2016). The interplay of multiple screens: Understanding the role of media multitasking in the digital age. *Journal of Media Psychology*, *24*(3), 115-123.